SYLLABUS Fall semester 2025-2026 academic year Educational program "6B03104 - International Relations" 4 course

ID	Independent	ndependent Number of credits			General number of	Independent work of	
and name of	work of the	Lectures Practical Lab.		credits	the student under the		
course	student	(L)	classes	classes		guidanceof a teacher	
	(IWS)		(PC)	(LC)		(IWST)	
ID 100665							
Fundamentals of	6	3	3	-	6	6	
Geopolitics							
		ACADEMIC			BOUT THE		
Learning	Cycle,	Lecture	COUF Types	RSE	Form and platform fire	nal control	
Format	compo	types	of practical	al classes	Form and platform in	nai controi	
1 01	nent	5, p 5 5	F				
Offline	Basic	Problem	Informative				
		oriented,	problematic	analytical,			
		analytical,			Writte	en exam	
Lecturer - (s)	Vo Woi Chr	debatable isopher Hor, I	OhD in Intom	ational			
Lecturer - (8)	Relations	isopher 1101, 1		ationai			
e-mail:	catts10@ym	ail.com					
Phone:	+7-707-9928						
			IC COURS				
Purpose		ted Learning			Indicators of LO achievement (ID)		
of the course	As a res	As a result of studying the discipline the student will be ableto					
This course aims to	1 To critica				1.1. Differentiate between	een the core	
provide a		1. To critically analyze the theoretical frameworks and apply them to contemporary			assumptions and causal mechanisms of		
comprehensive					realism, liberalism, and constructivism when		
understanding of		geopolitical issues such as great power competition and regional conflicts.			analyzing a single contemporary geopolitical		
geopolitics by					event		
exploring its					1.2. Critique the relative explanatory power		
theoretical					and limitations of each theoretical		
foundations, regional dynamics,					framework when applied to a novel case of great power competition or regional conflict		
and contemporary	2 To develo	p an understa	anding of hox	X/	2.1. Demonstrate how		
challenges shaping	geoeconomi	c, environme	ntall, and	· · ·	environmental, and technological factors		
global power		al factors, inc		climate	collectively shape state		
relations		cyber warfar			interactions, moving beyond a purely military-		
	strategic into	ractions and state behavior.			political understanding of power		
				2.2. Evaluate the challenges that geoeconomic			
					instruments, environmental security, and cyber warfare pose to traditional theories of international relations and existing global		
					governance structures		
	3. To gain th	e ability to ev	aluate the ro	les and	3.1. Differentiate the distinct strategic options,		
	strategies of emerging middle powers, like			constraints, and foreign policy tools available			
	Kazakhstan, and major powers, such as the US,			to an emerging middle power (like Kazakhstan)			
	China, and Russia, in the context of shifting			from those of a major power (like the US,			
	global and regional geopolitical dynamics.			China, or Russia) within a specific regional context 3.2. Evaluate how the strategies of emerging			
					middle powers and major powers interact, conflict, or align within a shifting global		
			order, assessing the implications for		plications for regional		
		stability and power transition theories					
Prerequisites	Theories of 1						
Post-requisites	Foreign police	cy analysis					

Learning Resources

Literature:

1. Brzezinski, Z. (1997) The Grand Chessboard: American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives. Basic Books. https://www.cia.gov/library/abbottabad-

compound/36/36669B7894E857AC4F3445EA646BFFE1_Zbigniew_Brzezinski_-_The_Grand_ChessBoard.doc.pdf 2. Kaplan, R. D. (2013) The Revenge of Geography: What the Map Tells Us About Coming Conflicts and the Battle Against Fate. Random House Trade Paperbacks.

- 3. Mearsheimer, J. J. (2014) The Tragedy of Great Power Politics. W. W. Norton & Company.
- 4. Frankopan, P. (2017) The Silk Roads: A New History of the World. Vintage.
- 5. Baylis, J, Smith, S. and Owens, P. (Ed.) (2017) The Globalization of World Politics: An Introduction to International Relations. Oxford University Press. https://www.abs.edu.gh/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/Main-textbook-The-Globalization-of-World-Politics.pdf
- 6. Kangan, R. (2006) Dangerous Nation: America's Place in the World, from it's Earliest Days to the Dawn of the 20th Century. Alfred A Knopf.
- 7. Perkins, J. (2016) The New Confessions of an Economic Hit Man. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- 8. Kaplan, R. D. (2011) Monsoon: The Indian Ocean and the Future of American Power. Random House Trade Paperbacks.
- 9. Coll, S. (2004) Ghost Wars: The Secret History of the CIA, Afghanistan, and bin Laden, from the Soviet Invasion to September 10, 2001. Penguin Press HC.
- 10. Marshall, T. (2016) Prisoners of Geography: Ten Maps That Tell You Everything You Need to Know About Global Politics. Scribner.

Internet resources:

Kutcher, K. C. (2024, September 23) The Russo-Ukrainian War and Mackinder's Heartland Thesis.

Geopoliticalmonitor.com. https://www.geopoliticalmonitor.com/the-ukraine-war-and-mackinders-heartland-thesis/Manukyan, Zhak (2025). The Strategic Role of Central Asia in a Changing World Order: Resources, Logistics, and Competition. YSU Journal of International Affairs. 1. 66-99. DOI: 10.46991/jia.2025.1.1.066. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Zhak-

Manukyan/publication/393464327_THE_STRATEGIC_ROLE_OF_CENTRAL_ASIA_IN_A_CHANGING_WORLD_ORDER_RESOURCES_LOGISTICS_AND_COMPETITION/links/686bd25039c3583512077f0c/The-Strategic-Role-of-Central-Asia-in-a-Changing-World-Order-Resources-Logistics-and-

Сомреtition.pdf?_tp=eyJjb250ZXh0Ijp7ImZpcnN0UGFnZSI6ImxvZ2luIiwicGFnZSI6InB1YmxpY2F0aW9uIn19 Кукеева, Ф.Т., Байзакова, К.И., Усен, М.А., Усерова, К. (2023) Влияние новых геополитических условий на многовекторную внешнюю политику Казахстана. Вестник Карагандинского университета Серия «История. Философия». № 1(109)/2023, pp.94-109. DOI 10.31489/2023HPh1/94-109. https://history-philosophyvestnik.buketov.edu.kz/index.php/history-philosophy-vestnik/article/download/589/522/1011

Academic course policy

The academic policy of the course is determined by the Academic Policy and the Policy of Academic Integrity of Al-Farabi Kazakh National University.

Documents are available on the main page of IS Univer .

Integration of science and education. The research work of students, undergraduates and doctoral studentsis a deepening of the educational process. It is organized directly at the departments, laboratories, scientific and design departments of the university, in student scientific and technical associations. Independent work of students at all levels of education is aimed at developing research skills and competencies based on obtaining new knowledge using modern research and information technologies. A research university teacher integrates the results of scientific activities into the topics of lectures and seminars (practical) classes, laboratory classes and into the tasks of the IWST, IWS, which are reflected in the syllabus and are responsible for the relevance of the topics of training sessions and assignments.

Attendance. The deadline for each task is indicated in the calendar (schedule) for the implementation of the content of the course. Failure to meet deadlines results in loss of points.

Academic honesty. Practical/laboratory classes, IWS develop the student's independence, critical thinking, and creativity. Plagiarism, forgery, the use of cheat sheets, cheating at all stages of completing tasks are unacceptable.

Compliance with academic honesty during the period of theoretical training and at exams, in addition to the main policies, is regulated by the "Rules for the final control", "Instructions for the final control of the autumn / spring semester of the current academic year", "Regulations on checking students' text documents for borrowings".

Documents are available on the main page of IS Univer.

Basic principles of inclusive education. The educational environment of the university is conceived as a safe place where there is always support and equal attitude from the teacher to all students and students to each other, regardless of gender, race / ethnicity, religious beliefs, socio-economic status, physical health of the student, etc. All people need the support and friendship of peers and fellow students. For all students, progress is more about what they can do than what they can't. Diversity enhances all aspects of life. All students, especially those with disabilities, can receive counseling assistance by phone / e- mail: +7707 9928639 / catts10@ymail.com

Integration MOOC (massive open online course). In the case of integrating MOOC into the course, all students need to register for MOOC. The deadlines for passing MOOC modules must be strictly observed in accordance with the course study schedule. **ATTENTION!** The deadline for each task is indicated in the calendar (schedule) for the implementation of the course, as well as in the MOOC. Failure to meet deadlines results in loss of points.

		INFORM		JT TEACHING, LEARNING AND SSESSMENT			
	rating letter sys		ssessment of	Assessment Methods			
Grad e	Digital equivalent points	points, % content	Assessment according to the traditional system	Criteria-based assessment is the process of correlating actual learn outcomes with expected learning outcomes based on clearly defined crite. Based on formative and summative assessment. Formative assessment is a type of assessment that is carried out in course of daily learning activities. It is the current measure of progressions.			
A	4.0	95-100	system	Provides an operational relationship between the student and the teacher. It allows you to determine the capabilities of the student, identify difficulties,			
A-	3.67	90-94	Great	help achieve the best results, timely correct the education teacher. The performance of tasks, the activity of wor	onal proces	ss for the	
B+	3.33	85-89		during lectures, seminars, practical exercises (discussions, quizzes, deb round tables, laboratory work, etc.) are evaluated. Acquired knowledge competencies are assessed. Summative assessment - type of assessment, which is carried out to completion of the study of the section in accordance with the program of			
В	3.0	80-84	Fine	course. Conducted 3-4 times per semester when performing assessment of mastering the expected learning outcomes descriptors. Allows you to determine and fix the level of mastering the course for Learning outcomes are evaluated.		es in relation to the	
B- C+	2.67	75-79 70-74		Formative and summative assessment	Points %		
C⊤	2.33	/0-/4		Formative and summative assessment		itent	
С	2.0	65-69		Activity at lectures		0	
C-	1.67	60-64		Work in practical classes		20	
D+	1.33	55-59	Satisfactorily	Independent work		30	
D	1.0	50-54		Design and creative activity		10	
FX	0,5	25-49	Unsatisfactory	Final control (exam)	4	40	
F	0	0-24		TOTAL	1	00	
	CALENDAR (SO	CHEDULE)	FOR THE IM	PLEMENTATION OF THE CONTENT OF T	HE COU	RSE	
	•	I		TEACHING AND LEARNING	_		
A week			1	Горіс name	Number		
1	Lecture 1. Origin	ns definitio	ns and evolution	an of geomolities	of hours	Dali	
•				definable: is 'geopolitics' a theory, a method, or	2	7	
	simply a perspect geopolitics?"	etive?"; (2)	on "how has t	echnology reshaped the fundamental rules of			
2				security, and the state	2		
	feature of international flawed leadership	ational politi o, and public	cs"; (2) on "ho opinion compli	ecurity dilemma is an inevitable and permanent w do domestic politics, bureaucratic interests, icate this assumption and lead to 'irrational' or r "military strength is still the ultimate currency	2	7	
3	Lecture 3. Liber				2		
	Seminar 3: Discussion (1) on "the democratic peace theory: is it an ironclad law or a statistical correlation?"; (2) whether "international organizations (e.g., UN, WTO, NATO) are truly effective at constraining great power behavior and fostering cooperation, or do they merely serve as instruments for the powerful?"; (3) on whether "the current challenges from Russia, China, and internal populism are a fatal blow to the project of liberal cooperation, or are these merely setbacks that the system can absorb and overcome."				7		
				tional organizations in an era of authoritarian		15	
	challenge	umimica pi	combo. mema	de de la companie de la composition della compos		13	
4				lentity, norms, and discourse	2		
	states make of it;	" (2) on "ho	w do narratives	security dilemma' is a physical reality or what and discourse shape geopolitical realities?"; (3) by directly dictate its foreign policy?"	2	7	
5	Lecture 5. Critic				2		
	Seminar 5: Discu up, rather than th imperialism cont institutions of the	ussion (1) on e top down? inue to shap ne present-da nbined with	"what do we see "; (2) on "how on the the power dy ay global order mainstream the	when we look at world politics from the bottom do the historical experiences of colonialism and namics, economic structures, and international r?"; (3) on whether "critical insights can be heories, or do they represent fundamentally	2	7	

	IWSC 2. Consultation on IWS 2.		
	IWS 2. A post-colonial lens on the Ukraine war: beyond the "new Cold War" narrative		15
6	Lecture 6. Geopolitical regions and strategic chokepoints	2	
	Seminar 6: Discussion (1) on "how does control of a single geographic feature (e.g., the Strait	2	7
	of Hormuz, the Strait of Malacca) translate into disproportionate global power?"; (2) on		
	whether "the African continent is merely a stage for great power competition (US, China, EU,		
	Russia) over resources and influence, or are African nations and institutions (like the AU)		
	successfully exercising agency to shape their own geopolitical destiny"; (3) on "how has		
	infrastructure / technology (e.g., pipelines, canals, alternative energy, cyber cables, satellite		
	surveillance) diminished or enhanced the strategic importance of traditional geographic		
7	chokepoints?" Lecture 7. Great powers and the shifting global order	2	
,	Seminar 7: Discussion (1) on whether "the analogy of a 'New Cold War' between the US and	2	7
	China is a useful framework for understanding current tensions, or is it a misleading historical	2	,
	analogy that obscures the unique features of 21st-century geopolitics (e.g., deep economic		
	interdependence, cyber domain, climate change)"; (2) on "how are traditional military alliances		
	(like NATO) being tested and transformed by both internal disagreements (e.g., differing threat		
	perceptions among members) and external challenges (e.g., cyberattacks, hybrid warfare that		
	fall below Article 5 thresholds)?"; (3) on "what are the viable strategies for middle powers (e.g.		
	India, Brazil, Turkey, Australia, and even Kazakhstan) in an era of great power rivalry?"		
	IWSC 3. Consultation on IWS 3.		
	IWS 3. The agency of the "infrastructure state": how middle powers and regional actors are		15
	leveraging new technologies to reshape geopolitical chokepoints and navigate great power		
	rivalry	_	
8	Lecture 8. Geoeconomics: trade, sanctions, and resource competition	2	
	Seminar 8: Discussion (1) on whether "economic sanctions are a precise and effective tool of	2	6
	coercion or a blunt instrument that often strengthens targeted regimes, harms civilian		
	populations, and accelerates de-dollarization and the creation of alternative financial systems";		
	(2) on "how has Russia used its natural gas exports to Europe as a tool for coercion and		
	division?"; (3) on whether "the world is decoupling, de-risking, or simply reorganizing into		
	competing geopolitical and economic blocs. What does the rise of geoeconomics tell us about		
	the future of the liberal economic order." Midterm control 1		100
9	Lecture 9. Climate change and environmental geopolitics	2	100
	Seminar 9: Discussion (1) on "how does the melting of sea ice create new competition for	2	7
	resources (oil, gas, minerals), new shipping routes (the Northern Sea Route), and potential	_	
	T DESCRIPTE TO DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY AND POLICE AND DOLCHING I		
	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on		
	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on		
	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes)		
	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency		
	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral		
	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?"		
10	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare	2	
10	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence	2 2	8
10	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence operations to sow dissent within rival nations, weaken alliances, and undermine the very		8
10	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence operations to sow dissent within rival nations, weaken alliances, and undermine the very concept of objective truth without ever firing a shot?"; (2) on whether "cyberspace has		8
10	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence operations to sow dissent within rival nations, weaken alliances, and undermine the very concept of objective truth without ever firing a shot?"; (2) on whether "cyberspace has democratized power by giving small states and even non-state actors (e.g. hacktivist groups,		8
10	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence operations to sow dissent within rival nations, weaken alliances, and undermine the very concept of objective truth without ever firing a shot?"; (2) on whether "cyberspace has democratized power by giving small states and even non-state actors (e.g. hacktivist groups, terrorists) the ability to project power and threaten large countries, or it has ultimately cemented		8
10	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence operations to sow dissent within rival nations, weaken alliances, and undermine the very concept of objective truth without ever firing a shot?"; (2) on whether "cyberspace has democratized power by giving small states and even non-state actors (e.g. hacktivist groups, terrorists) the ability to project power and threaten large countries, or it has ultimately cemented the dominance of the few states with advanced cyber capabilities"; (3) on "how will artificial		8
10	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence operations to sow dissent within rival nations, weaken alliances, and undermine the very concept of objective truth without ever firing a shot?"; (2) on whether "cyberspace has democratized power by giving small states and even non-state actors (e.g. hacktivist groups, terrorists) the ability to project power and threaten large countries, or it has ultimately cemented the dominance of the few states with advanced cyber capabilities"; (3) on "how will artificial intelligence and machine learning revolutionize cyber conflict, from automating defense to		8
10	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence operations to sow dissent within rival nations, weaken alliances, and undermine the very concept of objective truth without ever firing a shot?"; (2) on whether "cyberspace has democratized power by giving small states and even non-state actors (e.g. hacktivist groups, terrorists) the ability to project power and threaten large countries, or it has ultimately cemented the dominance of the few states with advanced cyber capabilities"; (3) on "how will artificial intelligence and machine learning revolutionize cyber conflict, from automating defense to enabling hyper-fast, personalized disinformation campaigns? What new ethical and strategic		8
10	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence operations to sow dissent within rival nations, weaken alliances, and undermine the very concept of objective truth without ever firing a shot?"; (2) on whether "cyberspace has democratized power by giving small states and even non-state actors (e.g. hacktivist groups, terrorists) the ability to project power and threaten large countries, or it has ultimately cemented the dominance of the few states with advanced cyber capabilities"; (3) on "how will artificial intelligence and machine learning revolutionize cyber conflict, from automating defense to enabling hyper-fast, personalized disinformation campaigns? What new ethical and strategic challenges does this pose?"		8
10	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence operations to sow dissent within rival nations, weaken alliances, and undermine the very concept of objective truth without ever firing a shot?"; (2) on whether "cyberspace has democratized power by giving small states and even non-state actors (e.g. hacktivist groups, terrorists) the ability to project power and threaten large countries, or it has ultimately cemented the dominance of the few states with advanced cyber capabilities"; (3) on "how will artificial intelligence and machine learning revolutionize cyber conflict, from automating defense to enabling hyper-fast, personalized disinformation campaigns? What new ethical and strategic challenges does this pose?" IWSC 4. Consultation on IWS 4.		
10	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence operations to sow dissent within rival nations, weaken alliances, and undermine the very concept of objective truth without ever firing a shot?"; (2) on whether "cyberspace has democratized power by giving small states and even non-state actors (e.g. hacktivist groups, terrorists) the ability to project power and threaten large countries, or it has ultimately cemented the dominance of the few states with advanced cyber capabilities"; (3) on "how will artificial intelligence and machine learning revolutionize cyber conflict, from automating defense to enabling hyper-fast, personalized disinformation campaigns? What new ethical and strategic challenges does this pose?" IWSC 4. Consultation on IWS 4. IWS 4 The new battlefields: power, coercion, and sovereignty in the age of geoeconomics,		8
10	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence operations to sow dissent within rival nations, weaken alliances, and undermine the very concept of objective truth without ever firing a shot?"; (2) on whether "cyberspace has democratized power by giving small states and even non-state actors (e.g. hacktivist groups, terrorists) the ability to project power and threaten large countries, or it has ultimately cemented the dominance of the few states with advanced cyber capabilities"; (3) on "how will artificial intelligence and machine learning revolutionize cyber conflict, from automating defense to enabling hyper-fast, personalized disinformation campaigns? What new ethical and strategic challenges does this pose?" IWSC 4. Consultation on IWS 4. IWS 4 The new battlefields: power, coercion, and sovereignty in the age of geoeconomics, climate crisis, and cyber contestation		
	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence operations to sow dissent within rival nations, weaken alliances, and undermine the very concept of objective truth without ever firing a shot?"; (2) on whether "cyberspace has democratized power by giving small states and even non-state actors (e.g. hacktivist groups, terrorists) the ability to project power and threaten large countries, or it has ultimately cemented the dominance of the few states with advanced cyber capabilities"; (3) on "how will artificial intelligence and machine learning revolutionize cyber conflict, from automating defense to enabling hyper-fast, personalized disinformation campaigns? What new ethical and strategic challenges does this pose?" IWSC 4. Consultation on IWS 4. IWS 4 The new battlefields: power, coercion, and sovereignty in the age of geoeconomics, climate crisis, and cyber contestation Lecture 11. The Ukraine war and the return of hard power	2	
	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence operations to sow dissent within rival nations, weaken alliances, and undermine the very concept of objective truth without ever firing a shot?"; (2) on whether "cyberspace has democratized power by giving small states and even non-state actors (e.g. hacktivist groups, terrorists) the ability to project power and threaten large countries, or it has ultimately cemented the dominance of the few states with advanced cyber capabilities"; (3) on "how will artificial intelligence and machine learning revolutionize cyber conflict, from automating defense to enabling hyper-fast, personalized disinformation campaigns? What new ethical and strategic challenges does this pose?" IWSC 4. Consultation on IWS 4. IWS 4 The new battlefields: power, coercion, and sovereignty in the age of geoeconomics, climate crisis, and cyber contestation Lecture 11. The Ukraine war and the return of hard power Seminar 11: Discussion (1) on whether "Putin's actions are a direct attempt to secure control	2	15
	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence operations to sow dissent within rival nations, weaken alliances, and undermine the very concept of objective truth without ever firing a shot?"; (2) on whether "cyberspace has democratized power by giving small states and even non-state actors (e.g. hacktivist groups, terrorists) the ability to project power and threaten large countries, or it has ultimately cemented the dominance of the few states with advanced cyber capabilities"; (3) on "how will artificial intelligence and machine learning revolutionize cyber conflict, from automating defense to enabling hyper-fast, personalized disinformation campaigns? What new ethical and strategic challenges does this pose?" IWSC 4. Consultation on IWS 4. IWS 4 The new battlefields: power, coercion, and sovereignty in the age of geoeconomics, climate crisis, and cyber contestation Lecture 11. The Ukraine war and the return of hard power Seminar 11: Discussion (1) on whether "Putin's actions are a direct attempt to secure control of the "Geographical Pivot of History" and its resources, proving the theory's enduring	2	15
	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence operations to sow dissent within rival nations, weaken alliances, and undermine the very concept of objective truth without ever firing a shot?"; (2) on whether "cyberspace has democratized power by giving small states and even non-state actors (e.g. hacktivist groups, terrorists) the ability to project power and threaten large countries, or it has ultimately cemented the dominance of the few states with advanced cyber capabilities"; (3) on "how will artificial intelligence and machine learning revolutionize cyber conflict, from automating defense to enabling hyper-fast, personalized disinformation campaigns? What new ethical and strategic challenges does this pose?" IWSC 4. Consultation on IWS 4. IWS 4 The new battlefields: power, coercion, and sovereignty in the age of geoeconomics, climate crisis, and cyber contestation Lecture 11. The Ukraine war and the return of hard power Seminar 11: Discussion (1) on whether "Putin's actions are a direct attempt to secure control	2	15
	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence operations to sow dissent within rival nations, weaken alliances, and undermine the very concept of objective truth without ever firing a shot?"; (2) on whether "cyberspace has democratized power by giving small states and even non-state actors (e.g. hacktivist groups, terrorists) the ability to project power and threaten large countries, or it has ultimately cemented the dominance of the few states with advanced cyber capabilities"; (3) on "how will artificial intelligence and machine learning revolutionize cyber conflict, from automating defense to enabling hyper-fast, personalized disinformation campaigns? What new ethical and strategic challenges does this pose?" IWSC 4. Consultation on IWS 4. IWS 4 The new battlefields: power, coercion, and sovereignty in the age of geoeconomics, climate crisis, and cyber contestation Lecture 11. The Ukraine war and the return of hard power Seminar 11: Discussion (1) on whether "Puttin's actions are a direct attempt to secure control of the "Geographical Pivot of History" and its resources, proving the theory's enduring relevance, or modern technology and economic power render this classical concept obsolete";	2	15
	military flashpoints between Arctic powers (US, Russia, Canada, Norway, Denmark)?"; (2) on "how does climate change challenge the Westphalian notion of state sovereignty?"; (3) on whether "future conflicts will be fought over access to freshwater (e.g., Nile River disputes) and critical minerals essential for the green transition (e.g., lithium, cobalt, rare earths)? How does this change the map of strategic resources;" (4) on "how can small island developing states (SIDS), which are the most threatened by sea-level rise, exercise geopolitical agency through diplomatic platforms (e.g., the Paris Agreement), international law, and moral arguments to pressure major emitters?" Lecture 10. Cyber geopolitics and information warfare Seminar 10: Discussion (1) on "how states use disinformation, propaganda, and influence operations to sow dissent within rival nations, weaken alliances, and undermine the very concept of objective truth without ever firing a shot?"; (2) on whether "cyberspace has democratized power by giving small states and even non-state actors (e.g. hacktivist groups, terrorists) the ability to project power and threaten large countries, or it has ultimately cemented the dominance of the few states with advanced cyber capabilities"; (3) on "how will artificial intelligence and machine learning revolutionize cyber conflict, from automating defense to enabling hyper-fast, personalized disinformation campaigns? What new ethical and strategic challenges does this pose?" IWSC 4. Consultation on IWS 4. IWS 4 The new battlefields: power, coercion, and sovereignty in the age of geoeconomics, climate crisis, and cyber contestation Lecture 11. The Ukraine war and the return of hard power Seminar 11: Discussion (1) on whether "Putin's actions are a direct attempt to secure control of the "Geographical Pivot of History" and its resources, proving the theory's enduring relevance, or modern technology and economic power render this classical concept obsolete"; (2) on "how does control of the Black Sea coast a	2	15

	used energy as a geopolitical tool to divide Europe, and how has the war accelerated a remapping of European energy security?"		
12	Lecture 12. China's rise, Indo-Pacific geopolitics, and Eurasian geopolitics	2	
	Seminar 12: Discussion (1) on whether "conflict between the reigning power (US) and the rising power (China) is inevitable"; (2) on whether "the BRI is primarily a tool for expanding Chinese strategic influence, creating economic dependencies, and securing supply routes, or it is a genuinely beneficial project of economic connectivity"; (3) on "how middle powers, including South Korea, Australia, Indonesia, Vietnam, and even Kazakhstan, are asserting their agency? Are they aligning firmly with one bloc, pursuing sophisticated hedging strategies, or attempting to create a third pole of influence?"	2	8
	IWSC5. Consultation on IWS 5.		
	IWS 5. The return of geopolitics: hard power, hedging, and the contest for Eurasian dominance in the 21st century		15
13	Lecture 13. US foreign policy and great power competition in the Middle East	2	
	Seminar 13: Discussion (1) on "how have middle powers like Turkey, Iran, Israel, Saudi Arabia, and the UAE exploited the perceived retrenchment of the US and the engagement of other great powers to assert their own regional ambitions?"; (2) on "how does the global shift towards renewable energy reshape the strategic importance of the Middle East? How are petrostates like Saudi Arabia adapting their economic and foreign policy to prepare for a post-oil future?"	2	8
14	Lecture 14. Geopolitics of migration and demographic shifts in Europe	2	
	Seminar 14: Discussion (1) on whether "managing migration has strengthened EU institutions through collective action, or it has exposed its fundamental weaknesses and divisions?"; (2) on whether "migration is reshaping Europe's political map with the electoral success of populist, nativist parties across Europe;" (3) on "how do gender norms shape the reasons for migration, the journey itself, and integration policies in host countries?"	2	8
15	Lecture 15. Kazakhstan as an emerging middle power amidst US-Russia-China rivalries	2	
	Seminar 15: Discussion (1) on whether "Kazakhstan's multi-vector foreign policy is a sustainable model of pragmatic sovereignty in the 21st century, or is it becoming increasingly untenable under the pressure of renewed great power competition"; (2) on "how do domestic policies like political modernization ('Second Republic'), economic diversification, and human capital investment under President Tokayev serve a geopolitical purpose?"; (3) on whether "Kazakhstan's fate to exist in a sphere of influence is dictated by its powerful neighbors (a modern "Finlandization"), or it is successfully forging a path of genuine non-aligned agency and leadership in Central Asia. What factors will most determine this outcome?"	2	8
	IWSC 6. Consultation on IWS 5.		
	IWS 6 . The agency of the middle power: Kazakhstan's multi-vector foreign policy in an era of renewed great power competition		15
Midter	rm control 2		100
Final c	control (exam)		100
TOTA	L for course		100

Dean		Sairambaeva Zh.T.
Chair of the Academic Committee on the Quality of Teaching and Learning		Yerimpasheva A.T.
Head of Department		Baikushikova G.S.
Lecturer	Christople Has	Hor K.W.C.